
South Africa

Prepared for


November 20, 2007

CULTURAL SURVIVAL

Cultural Survival is an international non-governmental organization that focuses on indigenous rights. It has a global indigenous leadership and consultative status with ECOSOC. Cultural Survival is located in Cambridge, Massachusetts, and is registered as a 501(c)(3) nonprofit organization in the United States. Cultural Survival monitors the protection of indigenous peoples' rights in countries throughout the world and publishes its findings in its magazine, the Cultural Survival Quarterly; in a newspaper, Voices, that educates indigenous peoples about their rights; and on its website: www.cs.org. In preparation for this report, Cultural Survival collaborated with researchers from Harvard College Student Advocates for Human Rights (HCS Advocates). Researchers consulted with a broad range of indigenous and human rights organizations, advocates, and other sources of verifiable information on South Africa.
SOUTH AFRICA

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

While working to promote peace and justice in the nation as a whole, South Africa has fallen short in protecting the rights of the country’s Khoisan peoples to identity, political representation, land restitution, and language. Since revising its Constitution in 1996, the government has made positive legislative and administrative steps in each of these areas, but ineffective implementation has often left the Khoisan without fully realized or enforceable rights. The South African government should officially recognize its indigenous peoples and should eliminate the legislative classification of its citizens into Black, White, and Colored categories in order to improve accountability for violations of their rights, and ensure that they are adequately represented within the government. The government must continue to denounce unjustified police violence and should take steps to ascertain the reasons for and eliminate violence directed at Khoisan peoples. While the steps the government has taken towards restitution of Khoisan lands are welcome, the government needs to provide better resources to Khoisan indigenous communities, both during resettlement onto their lands and after they are resettled, to ensure proper sustainable development within these areas. Finally, South Africa must do more to preserve indigenous languages and promote Khoisan traditional history and culture.

Background

South Africa's 320,000 indigenous citizens include the San peoples—!Xun, Khwe, and Khomani—the Nama Communities, the Griqua associations and Koranna descendants, and the “revivalist Khoisan,” which we refer to collectively as the Khoisan. During the apartheid regime, indigenous identification and culture were discouraged, when not actually banned, and many Khoisan people were forced to learn Afrikaans as their primary language. In 1996, the post-apartheid South African government took steps toward recognizing Khoisan rights. Article 6 of the constitution contains references to indigenous languages, and Article 12 creates an active role for traditional leadership within the nation’s legal system. But Khoisan ability to exercise
these rights is restricted by the country's continued use of the out-dated designations of Black, White, and Colored.

**IDENTITY AND RECOGNITION**

The South African government recognizes the importance of the country’s ethnic diversity, but it has not yet replaced the apartheid-era ethnic classifications, which denies the Khoisan peoples their right to their identity. The Committee on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination (CERD) has noted that the classification of ethnicities within a nation should be based on self-identification of the people in question.\(^2\) The Khoisan peoples identify as indigenous (or rather by their own names for themselves), not as "Colored people," a classification they find shaming.\(^3\) South Africa should give distinct statutory recognition to all ethnic groups, particularly its indigenous Khoisan peoples.

Recognition of the Khoisan as distinct peoples is necessary to enable the government to measure how well these indigenous peoples are faring in relation to the South African population as a whole when it comes to respect for human rights. South Africa is battling enormous social problems, including poverty, HIV/AIDS, illiteracy, and ethnically motivated hate crimes. But the government does not have a means to measure how these problems are affecting its indigenous minorities, or a way to ensure that those who violate their rights are held accountable.

The need for accountability is particularly urgent in the case of ethnically motivated violence and police brutality. The South African government has made great strides in reducing police violence resulting from racial and ethnic tensions, both by criminalizing racism in its constitution and creating an Equity Court system to enforce this legislation. But excessive use of force by police officials and racist attitudes through the judicial system remain serious human rights issues.\(^4\) The government has established an Independent Complaints Directorate (ICD) to investigate claims of police brutality and prosecute responsible individuals.\(^5\) According to the ICD, black people, and particularly marginalized black ethnic groups, are the primary victims, but the report fails to specify what constitutes a marginalized black group. Under article 5 of the Convention for the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination,\(^6\) the government has a duty to protect people against violence, and Articles 2 and 7 of the Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples provide a specific right against discrimination.\(^7\) The government has taken positive steps by creating the ICD and implementing training procedures for police with a human
rights focus. But without the government recognizing its indigenous peoples, it is impossible to
determine whether they are being discriminated against or to provide accountability.

**POLITICAL REPRESENTATION**

In addition, the tripartite apartheid-era social designations deny the Khoisan peoples their
right to representation within the government. Articles 4, 18, and 29 of the Declaration on the
Rights of Indigenous Peoples give the Khoisan the rights to self-governance and to
representation in the national government by representatives of their choosing. Most Khoisan
peoples are culturally or geographically isolated. Without self-representation in government,
they lack an official means to direct governmental attention to problems in their communities.

To implement Article 12 of its 1996 constitution, South Africa created the National
House of Traditional Leaders as an advisory board to the national and provincial governments,
but due to government difficulties in identifying proper Khoisan authorities, they are not
members of that body. Some provinces, however, are taking steps toward acknowledging
Khoisan identity and including Khoisan authorities in decision-making processes. For example,
Northern Cape Province is finalizing a Traditional Leadership and Governance Bill, which will
provide a legal framework for introducing traditional leadership in the province. It also is
establishing a unit in the Office of the Premier to deal with traditional leadership issues.

**LAND RESTITUTION**

There is a direct link between adequate protection of indigenous land rights and the
ability of indigenous peoples to freely pursue their economic and cultural development and
protect their cultural heritage and identity. During the apartheid era, many Khoisan were
relocated from their lands to rural villages or impoverished urban neighborhoods. The relative
poverty of the Khoisan today, as well as the continued demise of their indigenous traditions, can
be explained, in part, by a lack of access to traditional territories and by the Khoisan peoples' inability to exploit the resources (both surface and subsurface) of these lands. The UN Special
Rapporteur on the situation of fundamental human rights and fundamental freedoms of
Indigenous People has asserted that dispossession from their lands has caused indigenous

---

1 Although the Declaration has no binding legal effect, the UNHCHR notes that “such instruments have an
undeniable moral force and provide practical guidance to States in their conduct.”
peoples in South Africa to become increasingly dependent on external resources. Such practices violate Article 1 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, Articles 14.1 and 15.2 of ILO Convention, and Article 10, 11, 26, 27, and 28 of the Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples.

South Africa deserves credit for making strides in restoring lands to peoples who were dispossessed from their lands during the apartheid era. The government has created a land restitution program to provide remedy, and some groups have regained traditional lands, but implementation remains problematic. Section 25(7) of South Africa's constitution and the country's Restitution of Land Rights Act of 1994 provides for land restitution to any person or community dispossessed of property after June 19, 1913, the adoption date for the Native Land Act, which dispossessed a large part of the country's Black population from its lands. With the help of indigenous non-governmental organizations, a number of Khoisan groups have successfully reclaimed their lands. There have been shortcomings, however. Many Khoisan argue that their land rights were violated long before 1913. A landmark court victory in 2003 held that one Nama group was entitled to recovery under the principle of restitution even though it had been dispossessed prior to 1913, but in 2005 the Minister of Agriculture announced that the 1913 cut-off date would remain in force. In addition, the land restitution process is complex and costly and sometimes prohibitive to communities seeking restitution.

Furthermore, the government has failed to provide adequate support to communities that have returned to their reclaimed lands. For example, the Khomani San successfully reclaimed a portion of their traditional territory, but within five years the community was in disarray and complained that the government had failed to provide promised assistance to enable the community to initiate sustainable-development programs.

This is a pervasive problem. South Africa needs to recognize that mere recovery of traditional lands is not sufficient to enable indigenous peoples to overcome generations of marginalization and discrimination. The South Africa Human Rights Council has chided the government for its failure to provide urgently needed support: “Service at a local level has not been delivered by the local municipality, such as issues around health, water, and housing. The declaration of a township is often given as the reason for this slow delivery, but this is not enough. In addition, services such as education, social services and policing are also seriously lacking.”
EDUCATION AND LANGUAGE

Articles 5 and 6 of the 1996 Constitution recognize the importance of indigenous languages. South Africa recognizes 11 official languages, including Afrikaans, English, and 9 southern Bantu languages. The government has taken steps towards recognizing the Khoisan’s right to their language, in accordance with Article 13 of the Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, but it has yet to elevate the Khoisan languages to the status of an official languages.\(^{22}\) Article 6 of South Africa’s Constitution recognizes the need to do so and established the Pan South African Language Board (PanSALB),\(^{23}\) which was charged with promoting multilingualism and developing the country’s 11 official languages, South African sign language, and the Khoisan languages.\(^{24}\) PanSALB created a Khoe and San National Language Board, but to date this board has been relatively ineffective. There is almost no Nama literacy, Khoisan languages are still not taught in schools or recognized as official languages, and while other PanSALB Boards have worked to standardize other written languages, N/u still has no standardized alphabet. These shortcomings make it difficult for the government to provide the Khoisan with an education in their native tongues, as guaranteed in Article 14 of the Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples,\(^{25}\) Article 30 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child,\(^{26}\) and Article 27 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights.\(^{27}\) Education and language development are necessary for the cultural survival of the Khoisan.
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